

N THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of: )
Henrik PEDERSEN )

Art Unit: 1617

Confirmation No.: 9244

Serial No.: 10/522,171

Washington, D.C.

Filed: November 4, 2005

June 1, 2006

For: GENE SHUFFLING BY TEMPLATE)
SWITCHING , )

Docket No.: PEDERSEN=11

## INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT [IDS] NO. 2

Honorable Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

## sir:

This Information Disclosure Statement is submitted in accordance with 37 C.F.R. 1.97, 1.98, and it is requested that the information set forth in this statement and in the listed documents be considered during the pendency of the above-identified application, and any other application relying on the filing date of the above-identified application or cross-referencing it as a related application.

- This IDS should be considered, in accordance with 37 C.F.R. 1.97, as it is filed:
- [] A. within three months of the filing date of the above-identified national application or within three months of the entry into the national stage of the above-identified international application. See 37 CFR 1.97(b).
- [X] B. before the mailing date of a first office action on the merits. See 37 CFR 1.97(b).
- [] C. after (A) and (B) above, but before final rejection or allowance, and Applicants have made the necessary certification (box "i" below) or paid the necessary fee (box "i"

## USSN - 10/522,171

See 37 CFR 1.97(c). helow).

- [] i. Counsel certifies that, upon information and belief, each item of information listed herein was either (a) cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application not more than three months prior to the filing of this IDS or (b) was not cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application and was not known to any individual designated in 1.56(c) more than three months prior to the filing of this IDS.
  - [] ii. A check for the fee set forth in 1.17(p), presently believed to be \$180, is enclosed (check
- D. after (A), (B) and (C) above, but before payment of the issue fee. Applicant petitions under 37 C.F.R. 1.97(d) for consideration of this IDS. A check for the fee set forth in 1.17(i)(1), presently believed to be \$130 is enclosed \_\_\_\_\_). Counsel certifies that, upon information and belief, each item of information listed herein was either (i) cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application not more than three months prior to the filing of this IDS or (ii) was not cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application and was not known to any individual designated in 1.56(c) more than three months prior to the filing of this IDS.
  - As a submission in accordance with the transitional procedure for limited examination after final

rejection pursuant to 37 CFR \$1.129(a). Pursuant to MPEP §706.07(g), page 700-46, col. 2 (February 2000), this IDS is treated as if filed with a period set forth in 37 CFR §1.97(b) and considered without the petition and petition fee required by

In accordance with 37 C.F.R. 1.98, this IDS 1.97(d). list (e.g., form PTO-1449) of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the office, either incorporated into this IDS or as an attachment hereto. A copy of each document is attached, except as explained

[X] While an IDS filed under  $\S1.97$  must contain a "list below. of all patents, publications or other information submitted for consideration by the Office", see  $\S1.98(a)$  (1), the only requirement for the list is that it provide the information set forth in \$1.98(b). There is no requirement that a form PTO-1449 be used (MPEP \$609 merely says that use of this form is "encouraged"). Counsel has used a list provided to him by Applicants, and not transferred the information to a PTO-1449, to avoid the risk of any inadvertent error in transferring the

- \_\_\_\_\_ are deemed information. [] A. Documents substantially cumulative to documents \_\_\_\_\_ accordance with 1.98(c), only a copy of each of the latter documents is enclosed.
- [ ] B. Certain documents were previously cited by or submitted to the Office in the following prior application(s), which are relied upon under 35 U.S.C. 120:

[insert serial number/filing date]

Applicants identify these documents by attaching hereto copies of the form PTO-892s and PTO-1449s from the files of the prior applications or a fresh PTO-1449 listing these documents, and request that they be considered and made of record in accordance with 1.98(d). Per 37 CFR 1.98(d), copies of these documents need not be filed in this application. If copies of any of these documents cannot be found in the files of the prior applications, the Examiner is requested to so notify counsel  $\underline{\text{before}}$  taking action in this case, so replacement copies can be submitted. While an IDS filed under \$1.97 must contain a "list of all patents, publications or other information submitted for consideration by the Office", see \$1.98(a) (1), the only requirement for the list is that it provide the information set forth in \$1.98(b). There is no requirement that a form PTO-1449 be used (MPEP §609 merely says that use of this form is "encouraged") and no prohibition on submitting a copy of a form PTO-1449 or form PTO-892 from a prior case. Indeed, the re-use of such forms is desirable as it avoids error in transferring the information, and evidences that the reference was considered in a A previously accepted PTO-1449, or an examiner-prepared PTO-892, necessarily complies with §1.98(b). prior application.

prior	apprica	nacessarily complied and	
examin	er-prepa	red PTO-892, necessarily complies and the English	
	[ ]	3. Documents	
	_	an English	
langua	age. In	accordance with 1.98(c), Applicant	
245	[ ]	documents ar claim sec.	
		language abstract,	1
		available abstract 15 was	h
	[ ]	language abstract, summary of containing and a publicly available abstract is attached to each of documents, and the source of each	•
		of documents	
		of determinated thereon.	
		abstract is indicated thereon.	

## USSN - 10/522,171

0/522,171
[] documents are patents or published patent applications for which counterpart English language patents or patent applications exist, and
are enclosed, as follows  Foreign Lang. Doc.#  English Lang. Doc.#
[insert] [] applicants have prepared an English translation of [] applicants have pretinent portions of documents
, and copies are attached.  [] A concise explanation of the relevance of documents is found in the attached search report from the patent Office (see reply to Comment 68 in the preamble to the
final rules; 1135 OG 13 at 1
[] A concise explanation of set forth as follows:  documents is set forth as follows:  [Insert concise explanation of relevance]  [Insert concise explanation of relevance is necessary for

- 4. No explanation of relevance is necessary for documents in the English language (see reply to Comments 67 and  $_{68}$  in the preamble to the final rules; 1135 OG 13 at 20).
- Other information being provided for the examiner's consideration follows:

[insert other information]

6. In accordance with 37 C.F.R. 1.97(g) and (h), the filing of this IDS should not be construed as a representation that a search has been made or that information cited is, or is considered to be, material to patentability as defined in §1.56 (b), or that any cited document listed or attached is (or constitutes) prior art. Unless otherwise indicated, the date of USSN - 10/522,171

publication indicated for an item is taken from the face of the item and Applicant reserves the right to prove that the date of publication is in fact different.

 The Commissioner is hereby authorized and requested to charge any additional fees which may be required in connection with this application or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-4035.

Respectfully submitted,

BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C. Attorneys for Applicant

Ρ. Reg. No. 28,005

624 Ninth Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20001 Telephone: (202)628-5197 Facsimile: (202)737-3528 IPC:ses:lms G:\ipc\g-i\hoib\Pedersen11\IDSIPC2.doc

RM PTO-144	9 U.S. I	DEPARTI	MENT C	of COM	MER	CE			PEC	Y DOCKET NO DERSEN=11	IIIN O.	1006	SERIAL NO: 10/522,171	
TENT AND I	KADEMA							Ī	API	PLICANT: PED	et al.	AND CONTRACTOR		
IST OF DOCUMENTS CITED BY APPLICANT Use several sheets if necessary)								FILING DATE: November 4, 2005 GROUP: 1617						
e PATENT	DOCUME	NTS (inc	lude at	least pa	atent	ее,	pate	nt ni	ımbe	er and Issue da	te)	T	SUB- CLASS	FILING DATE
XAMINER		DOCUM							DATE		PATENTEE	CLASS	CLASS	
NITIAL				_		Т	$\neg$	_	工					
	-	+	+-	$\perp$		T	=		+					1
	+-+	$ \perp$	工	$\perp$		_					and country)			T
FOREIGN PA	ATENT DO	CUMEN	TS (inc	lude at le	east	locu	men	t nui	nber	publication da	e and country)  COUNTRY	CLASS	SUB- CLASS	TRANSLATION YES/NO
	1 1		DOC	UMENT	NO				4	27 Dec 2002	PCT			
	CN	02	1		3 2	8	0	8	1	27 Dec 2002	PCT			
	co	02	1	0				1 5	4	25 Sept 2003	PCT			
	CP	03	0	7	8	6	2	1	- 1	12 Feb 2004	PCT	_		
	ca	20	04	01	3	0	7			23 12 2004	PCT			
	CR	20	04	11	0	9	6		9	25 March	PCT		1	
<b> </b>	CS	20	04	02	4	١٠	1_			2004	PCT			-
L	- CT	20	04	05	6	9			5	8 July 2004 25 Sept.	PCT			
	- Cu	03	0	7	8	T4	1 4	1	,	2003	PCT			
1		03	10	17	8		2		6	25 Sept 2003 25 Sept 2003				
	CV		10	7	8		5		6	25 Sept 2000	3   PC1			
I	$-\frac{1}{cx}$		0	7	8		6 2		<del>-</del>	25 Sept 200	3 PCT	+-		
1	CY	03	0	7	8		5 6		1	2 Sept 2004	PCI			
-	CZ		04	1 07	14		4 3		9	2 Sept 2004				
	DA		04	08	+3		4 3		7	30 Sept 200 13 May 200	- 07			
	DE		1 04	03	1			2	5	30 Dec 200	3 PCT			
	- 1 5		04			1		7	8	13 Jan 200	5 PCT			
-	- 1 D		05			3		5	17	16 May 200	2 PC1			
1	<del>- 15</del>	F 0	2	3		2		3	2	1 Oct 1998	PCT			
-		G 9	8	10		7	5	8	1	15 Jan 199	8 101	+		
	E	9	18	-1-	-+	$\dashv$	$^{+}$		T					
-	-				_		ш				DATE CO	ONSIDERED		

SHEET	2	OF	2

			ATTY DOCKET NO: PEDERSEN=11	SERIAL NO: 10/522,171
M PTO-1449 U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE ENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE			APPLICANT: PEDERSEN, et al.	
	TC (	TED BY APPLICANT		GROUP: 1617
everal s	neers	II Heddan 7.	FILING DATE: November 4, 2005	
	IMEN'	rs (include author, title, name of pul	blication, volume, pages and date of publication preverse transciption: an evaluation of the role of tr p 1999, p15-31	e nucleocapsid protein". Journal of
DI	1 1	Molecular Biology, vol 286, No. 1 12 1	B as a robust hot-spot for copy-	choice recombination
D.	- 1	research, vol. 201	and by reverse transcriptase during o	00E p 6971-6975
-	-	Negroni M et al. "Homologous recomb Proceedings of the National Academy	ination prompted by reverse transcriptase during c of Scicnces of the United States, vol. 92, no. 15, 1 NNN strand transfer reactions catalyzed by HIV-1 re	verse transciptase". Biochemistry, vol. 33,
+	13	Peliska, J et al. "Fidelity of in vitro DA no. 13, 1994, p 3890-3895	INN strains trained to the strain strain strain strains catalyzed by HIV-1 rever	se transcriptase". Science vol. 258, no.
+	M	5056, 1552, F	rigation based on phosphorotribate . s	•
+	DN	advancement	traductate between murine leukernia virus p	he National Academy of Sciences of the
+	DO	RNase H activity 98 no. 21, 9 Oct 2	2001 p 12203 122 mutant generated by directed	CACIONA
-	DP	journal of Chemical 21	It sleavage specificity of human imine	Moderner
	DQ	Nucleic acids research	I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	4 4005 p325-332
	DR	Pausing and Rossin	to of murine leukemia virus reverse trans	SCIPMOT
	DS	Svarovskala ES et al. "Structural of switching". Journal of Virology, vo	determinants of murine leukemia virus reverse during b. 74, 10. 15, Aug 2000, p7171-7178.  combination by reverse transcriptases: Reshuffling National Academy of Sicences of the United States	of genetic markers mediated by KR8. s, vol. 97, no. 12, 6 June 2000, p 6385-6390
	DT	chaperones". Proceedings of the	National Academy of Street	
	+			
	T			
-	+			
_	+			
	7			
1			Mate considered  white through citation if not in conformance and no	considered. Include copy of this form

G:\ipc\g-i\hoib\Pedersenl1\25feb05.pto1449.doc